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ABSTRACT

Mn$_{0.3}$Ni$_{0.3}$Zn$_{0.4}$Fe$_2$O$_4$ ferrite nanoparticles were synthesized by thermally initiated autocatalytic decom-position of metal fumarato-hydrazininate complex. The chemical phase analysis has been carried out by X-ray powder diffraction method. The formation of complete ferrite phase occurred when the sample was annealed at 1100°C. The physical properties such as lattice parameter, bulk density and porosity were studied. The infra red spectra displayed two bands characteristics of spinel ferrite due to M–O stretching vibrations in tetrahedral and octahedral sites. The X-ray photoelectron and Mössbauer spectroscopy were used to study the valence and distribution of cations in tetrahedral (A) and octahedral (B) sites of the spinel. The frequency dependence of the dielectric properties such as dielectric constant, $\varepsilon'$, complex dielectric constant, $\varepsilon''$ and dielectric loss factor, tan $\delta$, were studied at variable temperatures and reported in this paper.

© 2012 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

Ni–Zn ferrites are the technological materials having wide range of applications in electronic industries. These materials are particularly suitable for high frequency applications because of their high resistivity, low dielectric losses, mechanical strength, high curie temperatures and chemical stability. Hence, these materials find application in microwave devices, power transformer, rod antennas, read/write heads for high speed digital tapes etc. The only disadvantage of Ni–Zn ferrites is their low initial permeability at higher frequencies [1]. Mn–Zn ferrites, on the other hand, are widely used for magnetic application such as transformer cores, noise filters, recording heads due to their high permeability and saturation magnetization [2]. However, these ferrites also have certain limitations for magnetic applications at high frequencies, because of their low resistivity and hence high eddy current losses. For high frequency magnetic applications, ferrite should posses high permeability as well as high resistivity to reduce eddy current losses. Therefore, an appropriate combination of these two ferrites, can result in the material with enhanced properties, more suitable for high frequency application than Mn–Zn ferrites and Ni–Zn ferrites [3]. It is well established fact that, the magnetic and electrical properties of ferrites are sensitive to the cation distributions, which in turn depend on the method of synthesis. Hence, there is growing interest in the newer and newer synthetic strategies to prepare ferrites with best possible properties. Many authors have studied the magnetic, electric and dielectric characteristics of Mn–Ni–Zn ferrite system, prepared using various synthetic routes like conventional ceramic technique [4–6] and non-conventional techniques like sol–gel [7–9], co-precipitation [10], etc. Very few studies are reported on the detail structural characterization of Mn–Ni–Zn ferrite system. In this paper, we are reporting the synthesis, detailed structural characterization, X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS), Mössbauer studies and dielectric properties of Mn$_{0.3}$Ni$_{0.3}$Zn$_{0.4}$Fe$_2$O$_4$ ferrite nanoparticles (as synthesized) as well as one sintered at 1200°C for 1 h in air.

2. Experimental

The polycrystalline nanoparticles of Mn$_{0.3}$Ni$_{0.3}$Zn$_{0.4}$Fe$_2$O$_4$ was synthesized by a non-conventional fumarato hydrazininate precursor method. The starting materials used were manganese chloride (99.0%, SD fine chemicals, India), zinc chloride (99.0%, SD fine chemicals, India), nickel chloride (99.0%, SD fine chemicals, India), hydrazine hydrate (99.0%, SD fine chemicals, India) and iron powder (99.9%, Merck, Germany). The detailed synthesis procedure of the precursor is reported elsewhere [11]. The yellow colour precursor complex was calcined at 400°C for 5 h. The structure and phase purity of the ferrite was determined using X-ray diffractometer (Philips-PW 3710) with Cu K$_\alpha$ radiation and Ni filter. Transmission electron micrograph (TEM) of ‘as synthesized’ ferrite powder was recorded on electron microscope (JEOL-JEM 2100F). The scanning electron micrograph (SEM) of sintered pellet was recorded on (JEOL-JSM 5800LV). The infrared analysis of samples was carried out using infrared spectrophotometer (Shimadzu FTIR-IR prestige 21 series). The X-ray photoelectron spectroscopic (XPS) data of ‘as synthesized’ Mn$_{0.3}$Ni$_{0.3}$Zn$_{0.4}$Fe$_2$O$_4$ ferrite was recorded with the specimen
Germany and an Al-K* samples were pressed into circular disc shaped pellets, and silver coating was done for 3 min (diameter 10 mm and thickness 2 mm), which was then sintered in air at 1200°C for 5 h. The heated pellet was then ground for 2 h and pelletized again under pressure of 7 tons. A ferrite nanopowder was pelletized and preheated for 5 h at 800°C.

Mössbauer spectra were fitted by using a Win-Normos fit program. The ‘as synthesized’ ferrite nanopowder was pelletized and preheated for 5 h. The calibration of the velocity scale was done by using an enriched 57Fe metal foil. The line width (inner) of calibration spectra was 0.26 mm/s. All Mössbauer spectra were fitted by using a Win-Normos fit program. The ‘as synthesized’ ferrite nanopowder was pelletized and preheated for 5 h. The calibrated of the velocity scale was done by using an enriched 57Fe metal foil. The line width (inner) of calibration spectra was 0.26 mm/s. All Mössbauer spectra were fitted by using a Win-Normos fit program.

The dielectric constant ($\varepsilon''$) of the ferrites sample was calculated by using the relation,

$$\varepsilon'' = \frac{C \times f}{A \times \varepsilon_0}$$

(1)

where $\varepsilon''$ is the dielectric constant, $\varepsilon_0$ is free space permittivity ($8.854 \times 10^{-12}$ F/m), $C$ is the capacitance of the sample in pF, $f$ is the thickness of the specimen (cm) and $A$ is area of cross section (cm$^2$).

The dielectric loss tangent (tan $\delta$) was calculated by using the relation:

$$\tan \delta = \frac{1}{Q}$$

(2)

where $Q$ is the quality factor. The complex dielectric constant ($\varepsilon''$) of the ferrites samples is given by:

$$\varepsilon'' = \varepsilon'''' + \tan \delta$$

(3)

The dielectric constant ($\varepsilon''$) and loss tangent (tan $\delta$) was measured from room temperature to 275°C.

**3. Results and discussions**

The X-ray diffraction (XRD) patterns of the samples annealed at different temperatures from 600°C to 1100°C are given in Table 2. It was observed that, the ferrite samples decompose to Fe$_2$O$_3$ and Mn$_2$O$_3$, when annealing at 600°C and above, in the air atmosphere. A prominent impurity peak, corresponding to Mn$_2$O$_3$, appears in the XRD patterns of samples annealed at or above 600°C, whose intensity goes on increasing as the annealing temperature was increased up to 900°C. When the same sample was heated (annealed) above 900°C, the intensity of impurity peaks starts decreasing and completely disappears at 1100°C.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>Lattice parameter (Å)</th>
<th>X-ray density (g/cc)</th>
<th>Measured density (g/cc)</th>
<th>Porosity (%)</th>
<th>Particle size (nm/μm)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>As synthesized</td>
<td>8.4150</td>
<td>5.26</td>
<td>3.52</td>
<td>10–30 nm</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sintered</td>
<td>8.4177</td>
<td>5.25</td>
<td>4.87</td>
<td>7.23</td>
<td>2–4 μm</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table 1**: Lattice parameter (Å), X-ray density (g/cc), measured density (g/cc), porosity (%) and particle size (nm/μm), of the ‘as synthesized’ and sintered Mn$_{0.3}$Ni$_{0.3}$Zn$_{0.4}$Fe$_2$O$_4$ samples.

**Table 2**: Infrared absorption frequencies (cm$^{-1}$) of ‘as synthesized’ and sintered Mn$_{0.3}$Ni$_{0.3}$Zn$_{0.4}$Fe$_2$O$_4$ samples.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>Tetrahedral bands ($\gamma_2$)</th>
<th>Octahedral bands ($\gamma_1$)</th>
<th>Lattice vibration ($\gamma_3$)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>As synthesized</td>
<td>577</td>
<td>394</td>
<td>329</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sintered</td>
<td>569</td>
<td>397</td>
<td>325</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The sample was further sintered at 1200 °C for 1 h. The XRD pattern of the sample sintered in air atmosphere at 1200 °C for 1 h (Fig. 2b), display all the peaks characteristics of cubic spinel structure which match with the similar results previously reported for the Mn–Zn ferrite system [13]. The XRD parameters such as lattice constant, X-ray density and porosity (Table 1) are in agreement with the reported values [14], while the measured density was found to be higher than that reported for ferrite, prepared by conventional method.

The normal and inverse cubic spinel ferrites shows four IR peaks, representing four fundamentals bands of ferrites [15,16]. It has been reported that, the first three fundamental bands are due to the M–O stretching vibrations in tetrahedral and octahedral site in cubic structure of ferrites, while the fourth one is because of lattice vibrations [17]. The Fig. 3(a) and (b) shows the IR spectra of both 'as synthesized' and sintered samples. The high frequency bands, $v_1$ (569–577 cm$^{-1}$) and $v_2$ (394–397 cm$^{-1}$) are attributed to Fe$^{3+}$–O$^2$ stretching vibrations in the tetrahedral and octahedral
sites, respectively. The third vibrational frequency bands, $\gamma_3$ (325–351 cm$^{-1}$) is associated with the divalent $\mathrm{Fe}^{2+}$-O$^2-$ stretching in octahedral site and the fourth vibrational bands, $\gamma_4$ between 225 and 280 cm$^{-1}$ (not shown in the given figure) are attributed to the lattice vibrations [18]. It may be noted that, the absorption frequency of $\gamma_1$ band is decreased, while that of $\gamma_2$ is increased (Table 2) after sintering, which may be due to the transfer of larger Zn$^{2+}$ and Mn$^{2+}$ from octahedral to the tetrahedral site in the crystal structure of ferrite.

The Fig. 4(a)–(d) represents the XPS core level spectra of Fe2p, Mn2p, Ni2p and Zn2p of ‘as synthesized’ Mn$_{0.3}$Ni$_{0.3}$Zn$_{0.4}$Fe$_2$O$_4$ sample. The Fe$^{3+}$2p$_{3/2}$ peak is always associated with a satellite peak at 8.0 eV higher than the main peak, while Fe$^{2+}$2p$_{3/2}$ is associated with a satellite peak at 6.0 eV above the main peak. If, both Fe$^{3+}$ and Fe$^{2+}$ ion are present in the material then, it results in a broad background over the region 710–720 eV, due to overlapping of satellite peaks of both ions. The ‘as synthesized’ Mn$_{0.3}$Ni$_{0.3}$Zn$_{0.4}$Fe$_2$O$_4$ ferrite powder sample shows a main peak at 711.2 eV due to Fe$^{3+}$2p$_{3/2}$ (Fig. 4a) and a satellite peak is observed at 719.2 eV, which indicates that, the surface of the ferrite contains iron in its trivalent state only [19]. In addition to this the binding energy of Fe$^{3+}$2p$_{1/2}$ was obtained in the present study at 724.6 eV. The energy is reported in substituted ferrites, which is due to the distribution of Ni$^{2+}$ in tetrahedral and octahedral sites in cubic structure of spinel ferrites. The Ni$^{2+}$2p$_{3/2}$ peak in NiO is always associated with a satellite peak at 1–2 eV along the main peak. However, no such satellite peak is observed in the spectrum of the present sample under study for Ni$^{2+}$, hence it can be concluded that Ni$^{2+}$ ions are present only in spinel structure [20]. The Zn$^{2+}$2p peak is observed at 1021.4 eV (Fig. 4c) in the XPS spectrum. The Zn$^{2+}$ ions have preference for tetrahedral site in spinel structure in bulk material. While in the nanocrystalline materials, considerable concentration of Zn$^{2+}$ ions occurs at octahedral sites, which results slightly higher binding energies for its 2p peak [21]. The IR and Mössbauer results in the present studies also support this observation. The Mn2p$_{1/2}$ and Mn2p$_{3/2}$ core-level peaks (Fig. 4d) centred at 642.8 eV and 653.9 eV results either from Mn$^{3+}$ or Mn$^{4+}$ since, the spectra of these ions overlap and it is very difficult to distinguish between these ions from their XPS spectra. However, since the valence of other cations present in the sample is not changed during the formation of ferrite, manganese should be present in divalent state [22,23]. The binding energy for O1s peak was observed at 529.9 eV, which is in agreement with the reported values for the O1s peak in the spinel lattice [24]. Hence, it can be concluded that the metal ions are present in their proper valency in the crystal structure of ‘as synthesized’ Mn$_{0.3}$Ni$_{0.3}$Zn$_{0.4}$Fe$_2$O$_4$ ferrite. The Fig. 5(a) is the TEM of ‘as synthesized’ Mn$_{0.3}$Ni$_{0.3}$Zn$_{0.4}$Fe$_2$O$_4$ ferrite which shows grain size of 10–20 nm while Fig. 5(b) is the SEM of the same sample sintered at 1200 °C which shows average grain size of around 4 μm.

The Fig. 6(a) and (b) shows the Mössbauer spectra of ‘as synthesized’ and sintered Mn$_{0.3}$Ni$_{0.3}$Zn$_{0.4}$Fe$_2$O$_4$ ferrite, respectively. The Table 3 gives the magnetic hyperfine field values ($H_{hf}$), isomer shift (\(\delta\)), quadrupole splitting (\(\Delta\)), linewidth (\(\Gamma\)) and relative areas (\(R_A\)) in percentage of tetrahedral and octahedral sites of Fe ions. In the mixed Mn$_{0.3}$Ni$_{0.3}$Zn$_{0.4}$Fe$_2$O$_4$ ferrite, Zn ions have strong preference for the tetrahedral sites, while Ni ions have strong preference for the octahedral sites. Mn ions also occupy octahedral sites albeit with small preference. Mössbauer spectrum of as synthesized sample exhibits a superposition of three Zeeman sextets due to ferromagnetic particles and a doublet due to superparamagnetic behaviour of nano-sized particles which is as per reported trends [25,26]. In general, a system of magnetic nanoparticles becomes single-domain below a critical size. In the single-domain state, these nanoparticles become superparamagnetic with a blocking temperature ($T_B$). Below $T_B$, the magnetization of such superparamagnetic nanoparticles fluctuates spontaneously with a relaxation time ($\tau$) $< 10^{-8}$ s. As a result, in the Mössbauer spectrum, magnetic splitting disappears resulting in a superparamagnetic doublet, as observed in Fig. 6(a) and also reported in the literature [26,27]. The relative percentage of sextet to doublet patterns is found to be 97.2:2.8 indicating that the superparamagnetic fraction is small. The Mössbauer spectrum of sintered sample exhibits a superposition of two Zeeman sextets. The assignment of sextets corresponding to A- and B-sites in sintered sample was done on the basis of isomer shift. The isomer shift of tetrahedral site was found

![Fig. 6. Room temperature Mössbauer spectra of (a) as synthesized and (b) sintered Mn$_{0.3}$Ni$_{0.3}$Zn$_{0.4}$Fe$_2$O$_4$ samples.](image)

### Table 3

Room temperature Mössbauer effect parameters; isomer shift ($\delta$), quadrupole splitting ($\Delta$), hyperfine field ($H_{hf}$), inner line width ($\Gamma$), relative area ($R_A$) of ‘as synthesized’ and sintered Mn$_{0.3}$Ni$_{0.3}$Zn$_{0.4}$Fe$_2$O$_4$ samples.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>Site</th>
<th>$\delta$ (mm/s)*</th>
<th>$\Delta$ (mm/s)</th>
<th>$H_{hf}$ (Tesla)</th>
<th>$R_A$ (%)</th>
<th>$\Gamma$ (mm/s)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>As synthesized</td>
<td>Sextet 1, (A)</td>
<td>0.319</td>
<td>0.035</td>
<td>46.2</td>
<td>29.4</td>
<td>0.278</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Sextet 2, [B]</td>
<td>0.313</td>
<td>-0.01</td>
<td>36.3</td>
<td>58.3</td>
<td>1.034</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Sextet 3, [B]</td>
<td>0.316</td>
<td>0.021</td>
<td>41.5</td>
<td>9.5</td>
<td>0.485</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Doublet</td>
<td>0.307</td>
<td>0.480</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2.8</td>
<td>0.53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sintered</td>
<td>Sextet 1, (A)</td>
<td>0.287</td>
<td>0.016</td>
<td>45.62</td>
<td>44.75</td>
<td>0.427</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Sextet 2, [B]</td>
<td>0.353</td>
<td>0.005</td>
<td>40.02</td>
<td>55.25</td>
<td>0.937</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Isomer shift values are relative to α-Fe metal foil ($\delta = 0.0$ mm/s), [A]: tetrahedral, [B]: octahedral.
to be lower than that of octahedral site (Table 3) which was observed by others [26]. The Fe$^{3+}$ ion in tetrahedral site has more s-electron density than that in octahedral site. It results in shorter Fe-O distance in tetrahedral site than that in octahedral site [28]. So, the sextet with a lower isomer shift corresponds to the A-site, and the sextet having a higher isomer shift represents the B-site.
Fig. 9. Variation of (a) real part of dielectric constant, (b) imaginary part of dielectric constant and (c) loss tangent at 100 Hz frequency for ‘as synthesized’ and sintered Mn_{0.3}Ni_{0.3}Zn_{0.4}Fe_{2}O_{4} samples as a function of temperature.

Areas in relative percentage in tetrahedral and octahedral sites are 55.3% and 44.7%, respectively in sintered sample.

The isomer shift ($\delta$) values for ‘as synthesized’ are nearly same for all three sextets, so assignment of sextets has been done on the basis of magnetic hyperfine field ($H_{hf}$). In the sintered sample, the $H_{hf}$ value for tetrahedral site was higher than that of octahedral site, so the sextet with higher $H_{hf}$ is belongs to the tetrahedral site. Isomer shift ($\delta$) values for ‘as synthesized’ and sintered samples are 0.287–0.319 mms$^{-1}$ for tetrahedral site and 0.313–0.353 mms$^{-1}$ for octahedral site, respectively with respect to $\alpha$-Fe ($\delta = 0.00$ mms$^{-1}$). This indicates that, Fe was in Fe$^{3+}$ high spin ionic state. The observed values of isomer shift are comparatively less than the expected value of 0.8 mm/s for the Fe$^{2+}$ ion, which indicates that the formation of Fe$^{2+}$ ion does not occur during sintering [29–31]. Thus, the sample maintains its stoichiometry even after sintering in air atmosphere. Except for doublet ($A = 0.48$ and $R_{A} = 2.8\%$) in ‘as synthesized’ sample, the quadrupole splitting ($A$) values for ‘as synthesized’ and sintered samples are nearly 0.0 mm/s, suggesting that Fe$^{2+}$ ions show cubic symmetry of polyhedron. The comparatively higher value of $A$ for doublet is attributed to an increase in the asymmetry around the iron ions.

The frequency variation (20 Hz to 10 MHz) of real and imaginary part of the dielectric constant of both ‘as synthesized’ and sintered Mn$_{0.3}$Ni$_{0.3}$Zn$_{0.4}$Fe$_{2}$O$_{4}$ ferrite was studied from room temperature to 275 °C (Fig. 7a, b and Fig. 8a, b). It was observed that, the dielectric constant shows rapid decrease up to 1 kHz, followed by a slow decrease from 1 kHz to 10 kHz, and was nearly independent of frequency from 10 kHz to 10 MHz. The very high values of dielectric constant (10$^{3}$–10$^{4}$) were observed for ‘as synthesized’ nanocrystalline Mn$_{0.3}$Ni$_{0.3}$Zn$_{0.4}$Fe$_{2}$O$_{4}$ ferrite (Fig. 7a) may be due of its ultrafine size. Since in an ultrafine state both, the number of grains and grain boundaries are large as compared to the bulk state resulting into more complex phenomena. Also the surface area of individual nanosize grains is large; hence the possibility of surface polarisation is also large. In a lower frequency region, surface polarisation contributes predominantly than electronic or ionic polarisation in determining the dielectric properties of ferrite materials [32]. The decrease in dielectric constant with increasing frequency is a normal behaviour observed in most of the ferromagnetic materials. The low value of dielectric constant observed in the present study for sintered sample than those reported using conventional methods, was due to the low concentration of Fe$^{2+}$ ions in the sample and lower loss of Zn$^{2+}$ ions during sintering. Zinc evaporation is pronounced only when the ferrite are sintered above 1200 °C. The SEM micrograph of sintered sample (Fig. 5b) consists of relatively small grains (4 μm). It can be seen from the micrograph that, the grain size and size spread are much smaller. The small grains are preferred in ferrites as oxidation advances faster, leading to oxidation of Fe$^{2+}$ ions to Fe$^{3+}$, resulting in the lower values of dielectric constants. The Figs. 7c and 8c shows the variation of dielectric loss as a function of frequency (20 Hz–10 MHz) of ‘as synthesized’ and sintered ferrites, respectively, at different temperatures. The dielectric dispersion exhibited by the sample is very small, extends up to 10$^{3}$ Hz and is insignificant at higher frequencies. The dielectric loss gives the loss of energy from the applied field into the sample. This is caused by domain wall resonance. At higher frequencies, the losses are found to be low, since domain wall motion is inhibited and magnetization is forced to change rotation. The dielectric dispersion curve can be explained on the basis of Koop’s theory [33], based on the Maxwell–Wagner model for the inhomogeneous double structure [34]. In ferrites, it is well known that the samples consist of well-conducting grains separated by poorly conducting grain boundaries [33]. The electrons reach the grain boundary through hopping.
and if the grain boundary resistance is high enough, the electrons pile up at the grain boundaries and produce polarisation. However, as the frequency of the applied field is increased, the electrons reverse their direction of motion more often. This decreases the probability of electrons reaching the grain boundary and as a result the polarisation decreases. Therefore, the dielectric constant decreases with increasing frequency of the applied field. The dielectric constant value increases with temperature at all frequencies (Fig. 9a and b). The increase in the value of the dielectric constant with an increase in temperature was very large at lower frequency of ~1 kHz, while at higher frequencies ~1 MHz, the increase was very small. At low frequencies, the dipolar and interfacial polarisation are known to play the dominant role. Both these polarisations are strongly temperature dependent. The interfacial polarisation increases with temperature due to creation of crystal defects while dipolar polarisation decreases with increase in temperature. The rapid increase in the dielectric constant with increase in temperature at low frequencies suggests that the effect of temperature is more pronounced on the interfacial polarisation than on the dipolar polarisation [35]. At high frequencies, electronic and ionic polarisations are the main contributor and their temperature dependence is insignificant. Hence, it results in constant value of dielectric at high frequency. A dielectric loss (Fig. 9c) shows gradual increase with increasing temperature up to 150 °C while rapid increase in dielectric loss is observed above 150 °C.

4. Conclusion

Nanosize Mn0.3Ni0.3Zn0.4Fe2O4 ferrite synthesized by thermally initiated autocatalytic decomposition of metal fumarato-hydrazone complex. Formation of single phase Mn0.3Ni0.3Zn0.4Fe2O4 occurs at 1100 °C when sintered in air atmosphere. Transfer of divalent Mn2+ and Zn2+ ions was observed from octahedral [B] to tetrahedral (A) sites after sintering as indicated by IR and Mössbauer spectral studies. XPS studies indicate the proper valence of the metal ions in the ‘as synthesized’ Mn0.3Ni0.3Zn0.4Fe2O4 ferrite. The Mössbauer spectral studies has shown the high spin ionic state of Fe3+ in both ‘as synthesized’ and sintered Mn0.3Ni0.3Zn0.4Fe2O4 ferrite and quadrupole splitting value suggests the cubic symmetry of polyhedron. The isomer shift values also confirm that there is no formation of Fe2+ ions during sintering. The dielectric constant and dielectric loss is found to be higher for ‘as synthesized’ sample due to its nanosize nature while the sintered sample shows relatively very low values of dielectric constant and dielectric loss. This ferrite under study can be a promising candidate for high frequency applications.
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